### **Arizona Supreme Court**

**Criminal Petition for Review - Special Action** 

## CR-23-0312-PR

# GARY DWAYNE SKAGGS v HON. FINK/STATE

Appellate Case Information

15-Dec-2023 Case Filed:

Case Closed:

**Dept/Composition** 

### Side 1. GARY DWAYNE SKAGGS, Petitioner

(Litigant Group) GARY DWAYNE SKAGGS

Gary Dwayne Skaggs

Attorneys for: Petitioner

Amy Armstrong, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 22795) Ralph E Ellinwood, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 3890)

Side 2. HON. THOMAS FINK, JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA, in and for the County of Pima, Respondent Judge

(Litigant Group) HON. THOMAS FINK, JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA, in and for the County of Pima

Mr Thomas L Fink, Santa Cruz County Superior Court

### Side 3. STATE OF ARIZONA, Real Party in Interest

(Litigant Group) STATE OF ARIZONA

Attorneys for: Real Party in Interest State of Arizona

> Thomas McDermott, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 22589) Kent P Volkmer, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 25809)

### CASE STATUS

Dec 15, 2023....Pending

PREDEC	ESSOR CASE(S)	Cause/Charge/Class	Judgment/Sentence	Judge, Role <comments></comments>	Trial	Dispo
2 CA	2 CA-SA 23-0068					
♥ PIM	CR20062060			Thomas L Fink, Authoring		
				Judge of Order		
				Comments: (none)		

# 15-Dec-2023 FILED: Motion for Extension of Time to File Petition for Review; Certificate of Service (Petitioner Skaggs)

Petitioner Skaggs filed a "Motion for Extension of Time to File Petition for Review" on December 15, 2023. Pursuant to Arizona 18-Dec-2023 Rules of Criminal Procedure, 31.6(e) and Arizona Rules of Civil Appellate Procedure, Rule 6(b), a motion for a procedural order must include the words, "Motion for Procedural Order." Therefore,

> IT IS ORDERED the motion is denied without prejudice to Petitioner Skaggs' ability to file a motion in compliance with Arizona Rules of Crim. Proc. Rule 31.6(e) or ARCAP 6(b). This matter is subject to dismissal if a compliant motion or petition for review is not filed by December 26, 2023. (Tracie K. Lindeman Clerk)

- 18-Dec-2023 FILED: Motion for Procedural Order for Extension of Time to File Petition for Review; Certificate of Service (Petitioner Skaggs)
- 18-Dec-2023 A "Motion for Procedural Order for Extension of Time to File Petition for Review" (Petitioner Skaggs) having been filed on December 18, 2023,

IT IS ORDERED granting a first extension of time to file the petition for review on or before January 22, 2024. No further extensions of time shall be granted absent extraordinary circumstances. This matter is subject to dismissal if the petition for review is not filed by January 22, 2024. (Tracie K. Lindeman Clerk)

- 22-Jan-2024 FILED: Petition for Review of a Special Action Decision of the Court of Appeals; Certificate of Service; Certificate of Compliance (Petitioner Skaggs)
- FILED: Appendices to Petition for Review of a Special Action Decision of the Court of Appeals; Certificate of Service (Petitioner 22-Jan-2024
- 22-Jan-2024 FILED: Appendix Vol II; Certificate of Service (Petitioner Skaggs)
- 22-Jan-2024 FILED: Appendix Vol III; Certificate of Service (Petitioner Skaggs)

# **Arizona Supreme Court**

**Criminal Petition for Review - Special Action** 

# CR-23-0312-PR

# GARY DWAYNE SKAGGS v HON. FINK/STATE

		12 PROCEEDING ENTRIES
9.	22-Jan-2024	FILED: Appendix Vol IV; Certificate of Service (Petitioner Skaggs)
10.	23-Jan-2024	FILED: Record from CofA: Link to Electronic Record
11.	2-Feb-2024	FILED: Motion for Procedural Order to Expedite Consideration of Petition for Review; Certificate of Service (Petitioner Skaggs)
12.	20-Feb-2024	On February 2, 2024, Petitioner Skaggs filed a "Motion for Procedural Order to Expedite Consideration of Petition for Review." Petitioner has not demonstrated good cause for expediting the petition. The Court will consider the pending petition in due course and without undue delay. Upon consideration,
		IT IS OPPEDED the metion is depied. (Hen. Ann. A. Scott Timmer)

IT IS ORDERED the motion is denied. (Hon. Ann A. Scott Timmer)